

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: CD Rot 145 Rot 145 William Comments of the Address of

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/014,449	12/14/2001	Hideaki Sakai	215763US0CONT	9386	
22850	7590 10/22/2003		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			PADEN, CAROLYN A		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		1761		

DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>								
, · •	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/014,449		SAKAI ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
The MAIL INO DATE of this assumption to the	Carolyn A Pader		1761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 S								
	s action is non-fi							
<u>'</u>			accounting on to the marite in					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 14-30</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-17,20 and 22</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14, 21, 23-26,30</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) 18,19 and 27-29 is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep		•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	` '					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(1)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 1-12, 15-17, 20 and 22 are allowed.

Claims 18, 19 and 27-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the Invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 14, 21, 24, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Haruo.

Art Unit: 1761

Mori discloses a fried food and shortening containing 55-93% diglyceride with a combination of an ascorbic ester, such as ascorbyl palmitate and stearate and a natural anti-oxidizing ingredient such as plant extracts from rosemary. At example 2, the composition is used in donuts. The amount of ascorbic ester used in a composition for frying is shown at column 5, line 37. The amount of rosemary used in the composition appears to fall within the range that is set forth in the claims.

Claims 21, 14, 24, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haruo.

Mori discloses a fried food and shortening containing 55-93% diglyceride with a combination of an ascorbic ester, such as ascorbyl palmitate and stearate and a natural anti-oxidizing ingredient such as plant extracts from rosemary. At example 2, the composition is used in donuts. The amount of ascorbic ester used in a composition for frying is shown at column 5, line 37. The amount of rosemary used in the composition appears to fall within the range that is set forth in the claims. Thus although the rosemary extract is not identical to that shown in the claims, it is an obvious variant of the amount that is set forth in the claims.

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 14, 21, 23, 24, 25 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mori in view of Haruo.

Mori discloses a fried food and shortening containing 55-93% diglyceride with a combination of an ascorbic ester, such as ascorbyl palmitate and stearate and a natural anti-oxidizing ingredient such as plant extracts from rosemary. At example 2, the composition is used in donuts. The amount of ascorbic ester used in a composition for frying is shown at column 5, line 37. The claims appear to differ from the reference in the suggestion of the inclusion of silicone. Haruo teaches that the use of silicone in frying fats aids in reducing foaming. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to utilize silicone in the frying composition of Mori in order to reduce the foaming that can occur during frying.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a

Art Unit: 1761

action.

first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN 10-20-03
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

GROUP 1398 176